9110-04-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

Docket Number USCG-2018-0203

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zone; Marshwalk Group Independence Day Fireworks, Murrells Inlet, SC

**AGENCY**: Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION**: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY**: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a temporary safety zone for certain waters of Murrells Inlet, SC. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of the general public, spectators, vessels, and the marine environment from potential hazards during a fireworks display. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Charleston (COTP) or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2018-0145 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for further instructions on submitting comments.

1

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Justin Heck, Sector Charleston Office of Waterways Management, Coast Guard; telephone (843) 740-3184, e-mail Justin.C.Heck@uscg.mil.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## **I.** Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking

Pub. L. Public Law Section

U.S.C. United States Code COTP Captain of the Port

# II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On March 3, 2018, the Marsh Walk Group notified the Coast Guard that it would be conducting a fireworks display from 9 p.m. to 10 p.m. on July 4, 2018. The fireworks are to be launched from the end of the Veterans Fishing Pier in Murrells Inlet, SC. Hazards from firework displays include accidental discharge of fireworks, dangerous projectiles, and falling hot embers or other debris. The Captain of the Port Charleston (COTP) has determined that potential hazards associated with the fireworks to be used in this display would be a safety concern for anyone within a 500-yard radius of the pier.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of vessels and the navigable waters within a 500-yard radius of the pier before, during, and after the scheduled event. The Coast Guard proposes this rulemaking under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1231.

# **III. Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The COTP proposes to establish a safety zone from 8:45 p.m. to 10:15 p.m. on July 4, 2018. The safety zone would cover all navigable waters within 500-yards of the Veterans

Fishing Pier located at Murrells Inlet, SC. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of life on these navigable waters before, during, and after the scheduled 9 p.m. to 10 p.m. fireworks display. No person or vessel would be permitted to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The Coast Guard would provide notice of the safety zone by local notice to mariners, broadcast notice to mariners, and on-scene designated representatives. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive Orders and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

# A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. Executive Order 13771 directs agencies to control regulatory costs through a budgeting process. This NPRM has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and pursuant to OMB guidance it is exempt from the requirements of Executive Order 13771.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone. The safety zone will only be enforced for a total of an hour and a half, and although persons and vessels may not enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the safety zone without authorization from the COTP or a designated representative, vessel traffic

would be able to safely operate in the surrounding area during the enforcement period and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone. Moreover, the Coast Guard would provide advance notification of the safety zone to the local maritime community by local notice to mariners and broadcast notice to mariners via VHF-FM marine channel 16.

## B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

We have considered the impact of this proposed rule on small entities. This rule may affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owner or operators of vessels intending to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area during the enforcement period. For the reasons stated in section IV.A. above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule.

If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

### C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security

Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast

Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321
4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions
that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment.

This proposed rule involves a safety zone lasting an hour and a half that would prohibit entry

within 500-yards of a pier from which fireworks are being launched. Normally such actions are
categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L 60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of

DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 01. A preliminary Record of Environmental

Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under

ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a
significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

## G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to

coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

# V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>. If your material cannot be submitted using <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, contact the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at http://www.regulations.gov and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

## **List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR

part 165 as follows:

### PART 165--REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add a temporary § 165.T07-0203 to read as follows:

  § 165.T07-0203 Safety Zone; Marshwalk Group Independence Day Fireworks, Murrells Inlet,

  SC.
- (a) <u>Location</u>. The following is a safety zone: Certain waters of the Atlantic Ocean at Murrells Inlet, South Carolina within a 500-yard radius of Veterans Fishing Pier.
- (b) <u>Definition</u>. The term "designated representative" means Coast Guard Patrol
  Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating
  Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain
  of the Port Charleston in the enforcement of the regulated areas.
- (c) <u>Regulations</u>. (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Charleston or a designated representative.
- (2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may contact the Captain of the Port Charleston by telephone at 843-740-7050, or a designated representative via VHF radio on channel 16, to request authorization. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area is granted by the Captain of the Port Charleston or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Charleston or a designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will provide notice of the regulated area by local notice to mariners,

broadcast notice to mariners, and on-scene designated representatives.

(d) Enforcement Period. This rule will be enforced on July 4, 2018 from 8:45 p.m. until

10.15 p.m.

Dated: May 16, 2018

J.W. Reed,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard,

Captain of the Port, Charleston.

[FR Doc. 2018-10931 Filed: 5/21/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 5/22/2018]

9